arXiv:1112.0634v1 [math-ph] 3 Dec 2011

Galilean conformal algebras in two spatial dimension

N. Aizawa

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,
Graduate School of Science, Osaka Prefecture University,
Nakamozu Campus, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan.

Abstract

An infinite dimensional Galilean conformal algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in $(2+1)$ dimensional space-time is studied. We give a classification of all possible central extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then representation theory of the algebra with the central extensions, denoted by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, is developed. It is shown that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with unit central charge is realized in terms of infinitely many kinds of bosons. We then derive explicit formula of Kac determinant for the Verma modules of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. It is seen from the formula that the Verma modules are irreducible for nonvanishing highest weights. Finally, we introduce new infinite dimensional Galilean conformal algebra in the space-time of same dimension. Its central extensions are also discussed.

1 Introduction

The study of possible kinematical invariance lager than Galilei group in nonrelativistic physics introduced the notion of Schrödinger group [1, 2]. The group contains dilatation and nonrelativistic conformal transformation in addition to those of Galilei group. It was followed by further enlargement of the group by geometric consideration [3, 4, 5] so that we have at present some distinct kinematic groups for nonrelativistic systems which contains the Schrödinger group as a special case. They are regarded as nonrelativistic analogue of the conformal groups $SO(n, 2)$ and referred to as Galilean conformal groups (also called conformal Galilei groups), conformal Newton-Hooke groups.

Those nonrelativistic conformal groups are non-semisimple Lie groups of finite dimension. Recent observation of nonrelativistic AdS/CFT correspondence caused a renewed interest in those groups. It was observed first for the Schrödinger group [6, 7] and then for the Galilean conformal groups [8, 9, 10]. Other areas of physics where the nonrelativistic conformal groups play certain roles range from classical mechanics to quantum field theory [5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. Among other, the Galilean conformal groups in $(2+1)$ dimensional space-time are of particular interest, since their Lie algebras have the socalled *exotic* central extension. That is the central extension existing only in this particular dimension of space-time and has different structure from those in other dimensional space-time [10, 16, 17, 18]. We remark that the exotic central extension also exist for the (non conformal) Galilei algebra and has been studied extensively. Further detail for this, see for example [37] and references therein.

It is known that the Galilean conformal Lie algebras, denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_0$, have infinite dimensional extensions [8, 9, 10, 25, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42]. All such extensions contain the Virasoro algebra as a subalgebra. Inspired by the exotic central extension and its physical implications, we study mathematical aspects of the infinite dimensional extension of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in $(2+1)$ dimensional space-time introduced in [10]. In this article, we focus on classification of possible central extensions and reducibility of highest weight (Verma) modules.

This article is organized as follows: Definition of the algebra is given in the next section. We try to classify central extensions of the algebra in §3. It will be shown that the exotic central extension is not allowed for the infinite dimensional algebra. In §4 and §5, the algebra with all possible central extensions is considered. We give a realization of the algebra in terms of infinitely many kinds of bosons in §4. It is a simple extension of the well-known boson realization of the Virasoro algebra. We then turn to more general representation theory in §5 where the reducibility of highest weight modules (Verma modules) are studied by calculation of Kac determinant. Our main result is the explicit formula of the Kac determinant at any level. We introduce a novel infinite dimensional algebra in §6 which may be regarded as, in some sense, an infinite dimensional extension of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with the exotic central extension. §7 is devoted to concluding remarks.

2 Definition and structure

We employ the definitions of the Galilean conformal algebras introduced in [4, 5] and their infinite dimensional extension given in [10]. The infinite dimensional Galilean conformal algebras as well as the finite dimensional ones are labelled by "spin" ℓ, which takes positive integers or positive half-integers. The smallest instance $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to the Schrödinger algebra for finite dimensional case and to the twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra introduced in [42] for infinite dimensional case. In this article, we investigate the infinite dimensional algebra with $\ell = 1$ in $(2+1)$ dimensional space-time. The algebra has generators of three different types: $L_m, \ J_m, \ P^i_m$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ i, j = 1, 2$. They are subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n}, && [J_m, J_n] = [P^i_m, P^j_n] = 0, \\ &[L_m, J_n] = -nJ_{m+n}, && [L_m, P^i_n] = (m-n)P^i_{m+n}, \\ &[J_m, P^i_n] = \sum_j \epsilon_{ij} P^j_{m+n}, && \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1$. The $\langle L_m \rangle$ sector is a Virasoro subalgebra and the $\langle P^i_m \rangle$ sector is an Abelian ideal. The structure of the algebra is as follows:

$$(\ \langle L_m \rangle \ \ni\!\!\!\!+ \ \langle J_m \rangle \ ) \ \ni\!\!\!\!+ \ \langle P^i_m \rangle,$$

where $\ni\!\!\!\!+$ denotes the semidirect sum. We denote the algebra by $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is realized in terms of the space-time coordinates (t, x_1, x_2) [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} &L_m = -t^{m+1}\partial_t - (m+1)t^m \sum_i x_i \partial_i, \\ &P^i_m = -t^{m+1}\partial_i, \qquad J_m = -t^m(x_1\partial_2 - x_2\partial_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

There exists an algebraic anti-automorphism $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$\omega(L_m) = L_{-m}, \qquad \omega(J_m) = J_{-m}, \qquad \omega(P^i_m) = P^i_{-m}. \tag{3}$$

It will be used later in the consideration of highest weight representations.

Ten dimensional subalgebra $\langle L_0, L_{\pm 1}, J_0, P^i_0, P^i_{\pm 1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the finite dimensional Galilean conformal algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with $\ell = 1$. The exotic central extension of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is given by the following modification of the commutators [10, 16, 17]:

$$[P^i_0, P^j_0] = \epsilon_{ij}\Theta, \qquad [P^i_{-1}, P^j_1] = -2\epsilon_{ij}\Theta. \tag{4}$$

The highest weight representations of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with the exotic central extension have been studied in [43]. On the other hand, central extensions and representations of the infinite dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ have not studied yet.

3 Central extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$

It may be natural to ask whether the infinite dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ also has the exotic central extension. To answer the question, we try to classify all possible central extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ by an elementary method. We add the central terms to each commutators in (1).

$$\begin{aligned}
&[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + Z^{(L)}_{mn}, && [J_m, J_n] = Z^{(J)}_{mn}, \qquad [P^i_m, P^j_n] = Y^{ij}_{mn},\\
&[L_m, J_n] = -nJ_{m+n} + C_{mn}, && [L_m, P^i_n] = (m-n)P^i_{m+n} + F_{mn}^{\ \ i},\\
&[J_m, P^i_n] = \sum_j \epsilon_{ij} P^j_{m+n} + W_{mn}^{\ \ i}, &&
\end{aligned}$$

where $Z^{(L)}_{mn} = -Z^{(L)}_{nm}$, $Z^{(J)}_{mn} = -Z^{(J)}_{nm}$, $Y^{ij}_{mn} = -Y^{ji}_{nm}$ are required from the antisymmetry of the bracket. The Jacobi identities impose the following relations for the central elements:

$$(k-m)Z^{(L)}_{k+m\,n} + (m-n)Z^{(L)}_{m+n\,k} + (n-k)Z^{(L)}_{n+k\,m} = 0,$$

$$kZ^{(J)}_{k+m\,n} - nZ^{(J)}_{n+m\,k} = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$(m-k)C_{m+k\,n} + nC_{m\,k+n} - nC_{k\,n+m} = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$(m-k)Y^{ij}_{m+k\,n} - (m-n)Y^{ji}_{m+n\,k} = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$Y^{11}_{m+k\,n} + Y^{22}_{m+n\,k} = 0,$$

$$Y^{ij}_{m+k\,n} - Y^{ij}_{m+n\,k} = 0, \quad i \neq j, \tag{8}$$

$$(m-n)F_{m+n\,k}^{\ \ \ \ \ i} - (n-k)F_{m\,n+k}^{\ \ \ \ \ i} + (m-k)F_{n\,m+k}^{\ \ \ \ \ i} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$W_{m\,n+k}^{\ \ \ \ \ i} - W_{n\,m+k}^{\ \ \ \ \ i} = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$F_{m\,n+k}^{\ \ \ \ \ i} = \sum_j \epsilon_{ij}(nW_{m+n\,k}^{\ \ \ \ \ \ j} - (m-k)W_{n\,m+k}^{\ \ \ \ \ \ j}). \tag{11}$$

It is observed that the central element $Z^{(L)}_{mn}$ for the Virasoro subalgebra decouples from others. It follows that the well-known central extension of the Virasoro algebra remains true for $\mathfrak{g}$.

The central terms $Z^{(J)}_{mn}$ and C_{mn} are also decouple from others. For $m = 0$ (5) gives the identity

$$(k+n)Z^{(J)}_{kn} = 0,$$

which implies that $Z^{(J)}_{kn} = \delta_{k+n,0}f(k,-k)$ where $f(k,-k) = -f(-k,k)$. With this form of $Z^{(J)}_{kn}$ the relation (5) requires the identity for $f(k,k)$:

$$kf(-n,n) - nf(-k,k) = 0.$$

This is solved by $f(-n,n) = \mathit{const} \times n$. Thus $Z^{(J)}_{kn}$ gives a nontrivial extension. We obtain $C_{mn} = n(m+n)^{-1}C_{0\,m+n}$ by setting $k = 0$ in (6). This extension is absorbed in J_m by the redefinition $J'_m = J_m - m^{-1}C_{0m}$ so that it is trivial.

Next we prove that $Y^{ij}_{mn} = 0$. For $m = 0$ and $i = j$, the relation (7) is reduced to

$$(n+k)Y^{ii}_{n,k} = 0.$$

This implies that $Y^{ii}_{nk} = \delta_{n+k,0}f^i(n,-n)$ with antisymmetric $f^i(n,k)$. Substitution of this into (7) gives the relation

$$(2m+n)f^i(-n,n) - (m-n)f^i(m+n,-m-n) = 0,$$

which must be true for any pair of integers (m, n). By setting $n = 0$, one may see that $f^i(m, -m) = 0$. To see the case of $i \neq j$, we set $m = 0$ in (8). Then one may write $Y^{ij}_{nk} = \epsilon_{ij} I(n, k)$ with symmetric $I(n, k)$. Substitution into (7) yields the relation

$$(n + k)I(n, k) = 0,$$

so that one may have $I(n, k) = \delta_{n+k,0} f(n, -n)$ with symmetric $f(n, k)$. Substitute this back to (8) one obtain the identity being true for any pair of integers $(n, k) : f(-n, n) = f(-k, k)$. Setting $k = 0$ we have that $f(n, -n) = f(0, 0)$. On the other hand one obtain from (7) that

$$(2m + n)f(-n, n) + (m - n)f(m + n, -m - n) = 0.$$

Setting $n = 0$ we have that $f(m, -m) = -2f(0, 0)$. Therefore we conclude that $f(m, -m) = 0$ so that $Y^{ij}_{nk} = 0$.

Finally, we prove that the extensions F^i_{mn} and W^i_{mn} are trivial. For $m = 0$ (10) gives the relation:

$$W^i_{nk} = W^i_{0\;n+k}.$$

This allows us, by making use of (11), to express F^i_{mn} in terms of W^i_{0m} :

$$F^i_{mn} = \sum_j \epsilon_{ij}(n - m)W^i_{0\;m+n}.$$

It is easy to verify that this relation does not contradict with (9). However, this central extension given by W^i_{0m} is trivial, since the redefinition of P^i_n by $P^i_n - \sum_j \epsilon_{ij} W^j_{0n}$ absorbs the extension. In this way, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 *All possible central extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ are listed as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{\alpha}{12} m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0}, \\
[J_m, J_n] &= \beta m \delta_{m+n,0},
\end{aligned}$$

where α and β are independent central charges.

We denote the algebra with the central extensions by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Before closing this section, we would like to emphasize that the infinite dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ does not have exotic central extension. This is a sharp contrast to the finite dimensional algebra.

4 Boson realization of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$

If $\alpha = \beta = 1$, then the algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is realized by infinitely many kinds of bosons. It is a simple extension of the well-known boson realization of the Virasoro algebra for $\alpha = 1$ [44]. Let us first recall the boson realization in [44]. We introduce a set of bosons subject to the relation:

$$[a_m, a_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{12}$$

Observe that the relation (12) is identical to the relation for J_m with $\beta = 1$. Thus the $\langle L_m,\ J_m \rangle$ sector of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is nothing but the Boson realization of Virasoro algebra:

$$L_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} : a_k a_{m-k} :, \qquad J_m = a_m, \tag{13}$$

where the normal ordering is defined by

$$: a_m a_k : = \begin{cases} a_m a_k & m \le k \\ a_k a_m & m > k \end{cases}$$

To realize the whole algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ we introduce three more bosons:

$$[b_i, \bar{b}_j] = \delta_{i,j}, \quad [b_i, b_j] = [\bar{b}_i, \bar{b}_j] = 0, \quad [c, \bar{c}] = 1,$$

where $i, j = 1, 2$. They commute each other and also commute with the bosons in (12). The realization of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a simple modification of (13). The following Proposition is proved by direct computation.

Proposition 4.1 *The algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is realized by boson operators:*

$$\begin{aligned} L_m &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} : a_k a_{m-k} : -\bar{c}^{m+1} c - (m+1) c^m \sum_i \bar{b}_i b_i, \\ J_m &= a_m - \bar{c}^m \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} \bar{b}_i b_j, \qquad P_m^i = -\bar{c}^{m+1} b_i. \end{aligned}$$

5 Highest weight representations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$

5.1 Verma modules

In this section we study highest weight representations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, especially, Verma modules. We employ the procedure which is an extension of that for Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra used in [42]. Define the degree of $X_n \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\deg(X_n) = -n$ where $X = L, J, P^i$. This allows us to define the triangular decomposition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} &= \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^- \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^0 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+ \\ &= \langle\, L_{-n}, J_{-n}, P_{-n}^i \,\rangle \oplus \langle\, L_0, J_0, P_0^i \,\rangle \oplus \langle\, L_n, J_n, P_n^i \,\rangle, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{aligned}$$

Let $|0\rangle$ be the highest weight vector:

$$\begin{aligned} & L_n |0\rangle = J_n |0\rangle = P_n^i |0\rangle = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ & L_0 |0\rangle = h |0\rangle, \quad J_0 |0\rangle = \mu |0\rangle, \quad P_0^i |0\rangle = \rho_i |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Following the usual definition of Verma modules, we define the Verma modules for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$V^{\mathcal{I}} = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-) |0\rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \{\ h, \mu, \rho_i\ \}$. The Verma module $V^{\mathcal{I}}$ is a graded-modules through a natural extension of the degree from $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ by $\deg(XY) = \deg(X) + \deg(Y),\ \ X, Y \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$,

$$V^{\mathcal{I}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} V^{\mathcal{I}}_n, \quad V^{\mathcal{I}}_n = \{X\left|0\right\rangle \mid X \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-),\ \deg(X) = n\ \}.$$

One can introduce an inner product in $V^{\mathcal{I}}$ by extending the anti-automorphism ω of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined in (3) to $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. We define the inner product of $X\left|0\right\rangle, Y\left|0\right\rangle \in V^{\mathcal{I}}$ by

$$\left\langle 0\right| \omega(X) Y \left|0\right\rangle, \qquad \langle 0|0\rangle = 1.$$

The basis of $V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$ is specified by a partition of an integer. Let us first fix notations and conventions. A partition $A = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell)$ of a positive integer n is the sequence of positive integers such that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_\ell, \\ & a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_\ell > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The integers n and ℓ are called degree and length of the partition A, respectively. They are denoted by $\deg A$ and $\ell(A)$. For a given n, the number of possible partitions is denoted by $p(n)$. Let $A = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell), B = (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m)$ be two partitions of n. If the first nonvanishing $a_i - b_i$ is positive, then we write $A > B$. This determines an ordering on the set of partitions of n.

To specify a vector in $V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$, we decompose n into a sum of four non-negative integers:

$$n = a + b + c + d.$$

Let A, B, C and D be partitions of a, b, c and d, respectively. A vector in $V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$ is labelled as follows:

$$L_{-A} J_{-B} P^1_{-C} P^2_{-D} \left|0\right\rangle, \tag{14}$$

where $L_{-A} = L_{-a_1} L_{-a_2} \cdots L_{-a_\ell}$ and so on. If the decomposition of n contains zero, then the corresponding partition is empty set ϕ and the corresponding generators do not appear in (14). For instance, if $a = 0$, then (14) becomes $L_{-B} P^1_{-C} P^2_{-D} \left|0\right\rangle$. For a given decomposition (a, b, c, d) there are $p(a)p(b)p(c)p(d)$ vectors of the form (14). Here we set $p(0) = 1$ as usual. It follows that

$$\dim V^{\mathcal{I}}_n = \sum_{(a,b,c,d)} p(a)p(b)p(c)p(d).$$

The values of $\dim V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$ for some small n are indecated below:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\dim V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$	1	4	14	40	105	252

One see that the dimension of $V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$ increase very rapidly as a function of n.

In the calculation of next subsection we use another notation more frequently, since it is more convenient to make L, J a pair and P^1, P^2 another pair. Suppose that n is decomposed into a pair of non-negative integers (a,b), *i.e.,* $n=a+b$. We then choose a partition $A=(a_1\cdots a_\ell)$ of a and $B=(b_1\cdots b_m)$ of b. We consider a set of vectors in $V_n^{\mathcal{I}}$, denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$, such that $V_n^{\mathcal{I}}=\bigcup\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$. The vectors belonging to $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$ are given as follows: we decompose a set of integers $a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_\ell$ to two subsets by selecting s integers from them $(0\le s\le\ell)$:

$$A_1=\{\ a_{\sigma_1}\ge a_{\sigma_2}\ge\cdots\ge a_{\sigma_s}\ \},\qquad A_2=\{\ a_{\rho_1}\ge a_{\rho_2}\ge\cdots\ge a_{\rho_{\ell-s}}\ \}. \tag{15}$$

A_1 consists of the selected s integers and the members of A_2 are the rest integers. We also decompose the sequence of integers in B into two subsets by selecting t integers $(0\le t\le m)$:

$$B_1=\{\ b_{\lambda_1}\ge b_{\lambda_2}\ge\cdots\ge b_{\lambda_t}\ \},\qquad B_2=\{\ b_{\nu_1}\ge b_{\nu_2}\ge\cdots\ge b_{\nu_{m-t}}\ \}.$$

In other words, A_i and B_i are smaller degree partitions obtained from A and B, respectively. The vector $L_{-A_1}J_{-A_2}P^1_{-B_1}P^2_{-B_2}\left|0\right\rangle$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$. Namely, the set $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$ consists of the vectors labelled by all possible subpartitions A_i,B_i of A,B. For short we denote a vector in $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$ by

$$\left|(LJ)_{-A}(P^1P^2)_{-B}\right\rangle\quad\text{or}\quad(LJ)_{-A}(P^1P^2)_{-B}\left|0\right\rangle.$$

For illustration the vectors belonging to $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$ for $n=1,2$ are listed below. The vectors and $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$ are written in *horizontal order* (see Definition 5.1).

$$\mathcal{V}^{0,1}_{\phi(1)}:\ P^1_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ P^2_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\qquad\mathcal{V}^{1,0}_{(1)\phi}:\ L_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ J_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{V}^{0,2}_{\phi(1^2)}:\ (P^1_{-1})^2\left|0\right\rangle,\ P^1_{-1}P^2_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ (P^2_{-1})^2\left|0\right\rangle,\qquad\mathcal{V}^{0,2}_{\phi(2)}:\ P^1_{-2}\left|0\right\rangle,\ P^2_{-2}\left|0\right\rangle,\\
&\mathcal{V}^{1,1}_{(1)(1)}:\ L_{-1}P^1_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ L_{-1}P^2_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ J_{-1}P^1_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ J_{-1}P^2_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\\
&\mathcal{V}^{2,0}_{(2)\phi}:\ L_{-2}\left|0\right\rangle,\ J_{-2}\left|0\right\rangle,\qquad\mathcal{V}^{2,0}_{(1^2)\phi}:\ L^2_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ L_{-1}J_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle,\ J^2_{-1}\left|0\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

5.2 Kac determinant for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$

The reducibility of $V^{\mathcal{I}}$ may be investigated by the Kac determinant. The Kac determinant is defined as usual [45]. Let $\left|i\right\rangle$ $(i=1,\cdots\dim V_n^{\mathcal{I}})$ be a basis of $V_n^{\mathcal{I}}$, then the Kac determinant at level (degree) n is given by

$$\Delta_n=\det(\ \langle i|j\rangle\ ).$$

The essential idea for calculating Δ_n for arbitrary n is to define two different orderings for the basis of $V_n^{\mathcal{I}}$. By the orderings Δ_n is equal (up to sign) to the determinant of a matrix of row echelon form. This will be achieved by the following lemmas and definitions:

Lemma 5.1 *Let* $\mathcal{V}_{AB}^{a,b}$, $\mathcal{V}_{CD}^{c,d}\subset V_n^{\mathcal{I}}$. *Then*

$$\left\langle(LJ)_{-A}(P^1P^2)_{-B}\middle|(LJ)_{-C}(P^1P^2)_{-D}\right\rangle=0,$$

if one of the followings is true:

1. *$a < d$ (so that $b > c$)*
2. *$a = d$ (so that $b = c$) and $A > D$*
3. *$a = d$ (so that $b = c$) and $B < C$*

Proof Let us denote the inner product by $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$. We write the bra vector as $\langle (LJ)_{-A}(P^1P^2)_{-B}| = \langle 0|\,(P^2P^1)_B(JL)_A$ where $(JL)_A = \omega(L_{-A_1}J_{-A_2})$, *etc.* We also understand that $L_A = \omega(L_{-A})$. We move $(P^1P^2)_{-D}$ in the ket vector to the left of $(LJ)_{-C}$. The result is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|(LJ)_{-C}(P^1P^2)_{-D}\right\rangle \\ &\qquad = (P^1P^2)_{-D}(LJ)_{-C}\,|0\rangle + \sum_{C',D'}(P^1P^2)_{-D'}(LJ)_{-C'}\,|0\rangle\,, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where

$$c + d = \deg C' + \deg D', \quad c > \deg C', \quad d < \deg D'.$$

Let us first show that the second term (summation part) of (16) has no contribution to the inner product $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$. To this end, we move $(P^1P^2)_{-D'}$ to the left of $(JL)_A$ in $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(JL)_A(P^1P^2)_{-D'} \\ &\qquad = (P^1P^2)_{-D'}(JL)_A + \sum_{E,E',A'}(P^1P^2)_{-E}(P^1_0)^{\tau_1}(P^2_0)^{\tau 2}(P^1P^2)_{E'}(JL)_{A'}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a - \deg D' = -\deg E + \deg E' + \deg A', \qquad a > \deg A'.$$

If $E = \phi$, then $\deg E' + \deg A' = a - \deg D' < 0$ for all three cases. However this is impossible so that $E \neq \phi$. Thus $(P^1P^2)_{-E}$ always exists and annihilates $\langle 0|$. Therefor there is no contribution from the second term of (16).

The first term of (16) has no contribution to $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$, either. To show this we treat three cases separately.
i) $a < d$. We move $(P^1P^2)_{-D}$ to the left of $(JL)_A$ in $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(JL)_A(P^1P^2)_{-D} \\ &\qquad = (P^1P^2)_{-D}(JL)_A + \sum_{E,E',A'}(P^1P^2)_{-E}(P^1_0)^{\tau_1}(P^2_0)^{\tau 2}(P^1P^2)_{E'}(JL)_{A'}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a - d = -\deg E + \deg E' + \deg A', \qquad a > \deg A'.$$

If $E = \phi$, then $\deg E' = a - d - \deg A' < 0$. However this is impossible. Thus $E \neq \phi$ and the first term of (16) has no contribution to $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$.
ii) $a = d$ and $A > D$. We work on the simple case of $A_1 = A,\ A_2 = \phi$ and $D_1 = D = (d_1d_2\cdots),\ D_2 = \phi$ in order to clarify the mechanism of vanishing contribution. The

computation for more general A_i, B_i is essentially the same. The $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$ is written in a form of multi-commutator between L_A and P^1 :

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{AB,CD} &= \langle 0| \, (P^2P^1)_B L_A P^1_{-D} (LJ)_{-C} \, |0\rangle \\ &= \langle 0| \, (P^2P^1)_B \, [L_A, P^1_{-d_1}] \, P^1_{-d_2} \cdots (LJ)_{-C} \, |0\rangle \\ &= \langle 0| \, (P^2P^1)_B \, [[L_A, P^1_{-d_1}], P^1_{-d_2}] \, P^1_{-d_3} \cdots (LJ)_{-C} \, |0\rangle \\ &= \cdots\end{aligned}$$

The m fold commutator is a sum of the products of the following form:

$$L_{a_\ell} \cdots P^1_{a_{\sigma_1}-d_{\rho_1}} \cdots L_{a_k} \cdots P^1_{a_{\sigma_2}-d_{\rho_2}} \cdots L_{a_1}, \tag{17}$$

where P^1 appears m times. Namely, $L_{a_{\sigma_i}}$ in L_A is replaced with $P^1_{a_{\sigma_i}-d_{\rho_i}}$ in m different places. Moving all P^1 to the left the sum is written as

$$\sum_{E,E',A'} P^1_{-E} (P^1_0)^\tau P^1_{E'} L_{A'}, \tag{18}$$

where $0 \leq \ell(A') \leq \ell(A) - m$. The computation of $\Lambda_{AB,CD}$ is divided into three cases according to the length of A and D.

a) $\ell(A) < \ell(D)$. Take $m = \ell(A)$ then there is no L in (17) and some $P^1_{-d_k}$ still remain outside the $\ell(A)$ fold commutator. Such $P^1_{-d_k}$ annihilates $\langle 0|$ so that we obtain $\Lambda_{AB,CD} = 0$.

b) $\ell(A) > \ell(D)$. Take $m = \ell(D)$ then we have

$$-\deg E + \deg E' + \deg A' = a - d = 0.$$

We show that $E \neq \phi$ in (18). If $A' \neq 0$, then $E = \phi$ results the impossible relation: $\deg A' = -\deg E'$. Thus E can not be ϕ for $A' \neq \phi$. If $A' = \phi$ then $\deg E = \deg E'$. $A' = \phi$ occurs when P^1 is on the right most position in (17), *i.e.,* when $P^1_{a_1-d_j}$ exists. This factor will become $P^1_{a'_1-d_j}$ in (18) where a'_1 is a sum of a_1 and some other a_i. We note that $a_1 \geq d_j$ for any j, since $A > D$. It follows that $a'_1 > d_j$, namely, $E' \neq \phi$ so that $E \neq \phi$. Therefore, P^1_{-E} annihilates $\langle 0|$ and we obtain $\Lambda_{AB,CD} = 0$.

(c) $\ell(A) = \ell(D)$. Take $m = \ell(A)$ then there is no L in (17) and $E \neq \phi$, since $E = \phi$ means that $a_{\sigma_j} - d_{\rho_j}$ for all j. This implies $A = D$.
iii) $a = d$ and $B < C$. One may prove in a way similar to the case ii). □

Now we introduce two different orderings of the basis of $V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$. They are basically the orderings for the set $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ and the ordering of vectors in $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ is not essential for the calculation of Δ_n.

Definition 5.1 *By the horizontal ordering we mean the following arrangement of the vectors in* $V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$.

1. *we put* $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ *from left to right in decreasing order of* b
2. $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ *having the same value of* b *are rearranged in increasing order of the partition* B

3. *$\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ having the same value of b and the same partition B are rearranged in decreasing order of partition A*

4. *vectors in each $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ are arranged in lexicographic order with respect to $L < J < P^1 < P^2$. The same type of generators are arranged in lexicographic order with respect to their indices*

The horizontal ordering for $n = 1, 2$ is given at the end of previous subsection. Further example for $n = 3$ is given below in terms of the set $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{V}^{0,3}_{\phi(1^3)}, & \mathcal{V}^{0,3}_{\phi(21)}, & \mathcal{V}^{0,3}_{\phi(3)}, & \mathcal{V}^{1,2}_{(1)(1^2)}, & \mathcal{V}^{1,2}_{(1)(2)}, \\ \mathcal{V}^{2,1}_{(2)(1)}, & \mathcal{V}^{2,1}_{(1^2)(1)}, & \mathcal{V}^{3,0}_{(3)\phi}, & \mathcal{V}^{3,0}_{(21)\phi}, & \mathcal{V}^{3,0}_{(1^3)\phi}. \end{array}$$

The vectors in $\mathcal{V}^{0,3}_{\phi(1^3)}$ are arranged as

$$(P^1_{-1})^3 |0\rangle , \quad (P^1_{-1})^2 P^2_{-1} |0\rangle , \quad P^1_{-1}(P^2_{-1})^2 |0\rangle , \quad (P^2_{-1})^3 |0\rangle ,$$

and the vectors in $\mathcal{V}^{1,2}_{(1)(2)}$ as

$$L_{-1}P^1_{-2} |0\rangle , \quad L_{-1}P^2_{-2} |0\rangle , \quad J_{-1}P^1_{-2} |0\rangle , \quad J_{-1}P^2_{-2} |0\rangle .$$

Definition 5.2 *By the vertical ordering we mean the following arrangement of the vectors in $V^{\mathcal{I}}_n$. Assume that the horizontal ordering is given. We replace the vector $L_{-A}J_{-B}P^1_{-C}P^2_{-D} |0\rangle$ in horizontal ordering with $L_{-D}J_{-C}P^1_{-B}P^2_{-A} |0\rangle$. The arrangement of the vectors obtained in such a way is the vertical ordering.*

The set $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ in the horizontal ordering corresponds to $\mathcal{V}^{b,a}_{BA}$ in the vertical ordering.

Let us consider the matrix $M_n = (\ \langle V_i | H_j \rangle\ ),\ (i = 1, \cdots, \mathrm{dim} V^{\mathcal{I}}_n)$ where $\langle V_i|$ are chosen in the vertical order and $|H_j\rangle$ are chosen in the horizontal order. Then

$$\Delta_n = \det M_n \text{ (up to sign).}$$

It is clear from the definitions and Lemma 5.1 that M_n is a matrix of row echelon form and its block diagonal parts is the matrices whose entries are product of vectors between $\mathcal{V}^{a,b}_{AB}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{b,a}_{BA}$. We denote the matrices sitting in the block diagonal of M_n by

$$\mathcal{M}(abAB) = (\ \big\langle (LJ)_{-A}(P^1P^2)_{-B} | (LJ)_{-B}(P^1P^2)_{-A} \big\rangle\ ).$$

As an example we give $n = 2$ matrix:

$$M_2 = \begin{array}{c|ccccc} & 2\phi(1^2) & 2\phi(2) & 1(1)(1) & 0(2)\phi & 0(1^2)\phi \\ \hline 0(1^2)\phi & \mathcal{M} & & & & \\ 0(2)\phi & & \mathcal{M} & & * & \\ 1(1)(1) & & & \mathcal{M} & & \\ 2\phi(2) & & 0 & & \mathcal{M} & \\ 2\phi(1^2) & & & & & \mathcal{M} \end{array},$$

where the rows and columns are labelled by the triple (b, A, B).

Summarizing the results so far, Δ_n equals, up to sign, to the product of determinant of the matrices sitting in diagonal parts of M_n :

$$\Delta_n = \prod_{a,b} \prod_{A,B} \det \mathcal{M}(abAB),$$

where the pair (a, b) runs all possible decomposition of n into two non-negative integers and for a fixed (a, b) the pair (A, B) runs all possible partitions of a and b. Namely, the calculation of Δ_n has been reduced to the calculation of $\det \mathcal{M}(abAB)$. The computation of $\det \mathcal{M}(abAB)$ is further simplified by the next lemma:

Lemma 5.2

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle (LJ)_{-A}(P^1P^2)_{-B} \middle| (LJ)_{-B}(P^1P^2)_{-A} \right\rangle \\ &\qquad = \left\langle (LJ)_{-A} \middle| (P^1P^2)_{-A} \right\rangle \left\langle (P^1P^2)_{-B} \middle| (LJ)_{-B} \right\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Proof Proof is similar to Lemma 5.1. Let Λ_{AB} be the inner product on the left hand side. We move $(P^1P^2)_{-A}$ to the left of $(LJ)_{-B}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| (LJ)_{-B}(P^1P^2)_{-A} \right\rangle \\ &\qquad = (P^1P^2)_{-A}(LJ)_{-B} \left| 0 \right\rangle + \sum_{A',B'} (P^1P^2)_{-A'}(LJ)_{-B'} \left| 0 \right\rangle , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a + b = \deg A' + \deg B', \quad a < \deg A', \quad b > \deg B'.$$

The second term (summation part) does not contribute to Λ_{AB}. To see this we move $(P^1P^2)_{-A'}$ to the left of $(JL)_A$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(JL)_A(P^1P^2)_{-A'} \\ &\qquad = (P^1P^2)_{-A'}(JL)_A + \sum_{E,E',A''} (P^1P^2)_{-E}(P^1_0)^{\tau_1}(P^2_0)^{\tau_2}(P^1P^2)_{E'}(JL)_{A''}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a - \deg A' = -\deg E + \deg E' + \deg A''.$$

If $E = \phi$, then $\deg E' + \deg A'' = a - \deg A' < 0$. However, this is impossible so that $E \neq \phi$. It follows that there is no contribution to Λ_{AB} from the second term.

Now we have only the first term so that

$$\Lambda_{AB} = \langle 0| \, (P^2 P^1)_B (JL)_A (P^1 P^2)_{-A} (LJ)_{-B} \, |0\rangle$$

As we did in case ii) of the proof of Lemma 5.1, $(JL)_A (P^1 P^2)_{-A}$ is replaced with $\ell(A)$ fold commutator and the commutator becomes a polynomial only in P^i. Thus one can move $(JL)_A (P^1 P^2)_{-A}$ to the left of $(P^2 P^1)_B$. Then the product $(P^2 P^1)_B (LJ)_{-B}$ also becomes a polynomial only in P^i. These polynomials may contain the terms of the form $(P^1_0)^{\sigma_1} (P^2_0)^{\sigma_2}$ which gives nonvanishing contributions to Λ_{AB}. Therefore

$$\Lambda_{AB} = \langle 0| \, (JL)_A (P^1 P^2)_{-A} \, |0\rangle \, \langle 0| \, (P^2 P^1)_B (LJ)_{-B} \, |0\rangle \, .$$

This proves the lemma. □

It follows from Lemma 5.2 that $\mathcal{M}(abAB)$ is a direct product of two matrices:

$$\mathcal{M}(abAB) = \mathcal{M}(A) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(B),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = (\, \big\langle (LJ)_{-A} | (P^1 P^2)_{-A} \big\rangle \,), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(B) = (\, \big\langle (P^1 P^2)_{-B} | (LJ)_{-B} \big\rangle \,).$$

Let $s(A)$ be the size of matrix $\mathcal{M}(A)$ which equals to the size of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(A)$ and equals to the number of vectors denoted by $|(LJ)_{-A}\rangle$ for fixed a and A. Then

$$\det \mathcal{M}(abAB) = (\det \mathcal{M}(A))^{s(B)} (\det \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(B))^{s(A)}.$$

We note that if $A = \phi$ then $|(LJ)_A\rangle = |0\rangle$ so that $s(\phi) = 1$. In this way, calculation of Δ_n is finally reduced to calculation of $\det \mathcal{M}(A)$ and $\det \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(A)$.

Lemma 5.3

$$\det \mathcal{M}(A) = \det \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \lambda(a, A) (\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2} s(A) \ell(A)},$$

where the equality is up to sign. The overall factor $\lambda(a, A)$ depends only on a and its partition A.

Proof We prove by induction with respect to $\ell(A)$. If $\ell(A) = 1$, *i.e.*, $A = (a)$, then $s(A) = 2$ and

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} \big\langle J_{-a} | P^1_{-a} \big\rangle & \big\langle J_{-a} | P^2_{-a} \big\rangle \\ \big\langle L_{-a} | P^1_{-a} \big\rangle & \big\langle L_{-a} | P^2_{-a} \big\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_2 & -\rho_1 \\ 2a\rho_1 & 2a\rho_2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{19}$$

Thus $\det \mathcal{M}(A) = 2a(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)$ so that the lemma is true.

Assume that it is also true for any partition $A = (a_1 a_2 \cdots)$ with $\ell(A) \leq \ell$ and consider the partition A' with $\ell(A') = \ell + 1$. It is enough to consider the partition $A' = (\alpha a_1 a_2 \cdots)$ for $\alpha \geq a_1$.

i) $\alpha > a_1$. Let us recall the subpartitions defined in (15). There exist two pairs of (A'_1, A'_2) associated with a given pair (A_1, A_2), since A'_1 or A'_2 must contain α. It follows that $s(A') = 2s(A)$. If A'_1 contains α, then we have

$$|(LJ)_{-A'}\rangle = L_{-\alpha} \, |(LJ)_{-A}\rangle \, , \qquad \big|(P^1P^2)_{-A'}\big\rangle = P^1_{-\alpha} \, \big|(P^1P^2)_{-A}\big\rangle \, .$$

If A'_2 contains α, then we move $J_{-\alpha}$ and $P^2_{-\alpha}$ to the left most position:

$$\begin{aligned} |(LJ)_{-A'}\rangle &= J_{-\alpha} \, |(LJ)_{-A}\rangle + \sum_{A''} |(LJ)_{-A''}\rangle \, , \\ \big|(P^1P^2)_{-A'}\big\rangle &= P^2_{-\alpha} \, \big|(P^1P^2)_{-A}\big\rangle \, , \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where the sum runs over some partitions A'' satisfying

$$\deg A'' = \deg A' = a + \alpha, \qquad A'' > A'. \tag{21}$$

By Lemma 5.1, the summation parts of $|(LJ)_{-A'}\rangle$ do not contribute to the matrix elements of $\mathcal{M}(A')$. It is not difficult to see by direct calculation that

$$\mathcal{M}(A') = \mathcal{M}((\alpha)) \otimes \mathcal{M}(A).$$

$\mathcal{M}((\alpha))$ is the case of $\ell(A) = 1$ so that it is given by (19). It follows that

$$\det \mathcal{M}(A') \sim (\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)^{s(a)(\ell(A)+1)} = (\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}s(A')\ell(A')},$$

where the overall factor λ has been dropped. This shows that the lemma is also true for A'.

ii) $\alpha = a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_\lambda$. We write the partitions as follows:

$$A = (\alpha^\lambda b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m), \qquad A' = (\alpha^{\lambda+1} b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m), \qquad B = (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m),$$

where $\alpha > b_j$. The subpartitions of A' are written in terms of those of B. The list of $A'_1 A'_2$ is given by

$$(\alpha^{\lambda+1} B_1)(B_2), \quad (\alpha^\lambda B_1)(\alpha B_2), \ \cdots, \ (\alpha B_1)(\alpha^\lambda B_2), \tag{22}$$

$$(B_1)(\alpha^{\lambda+1} B_2). \tag{23}$$

The partitions in (22) are regarded as $(\alpha A_1)(A_2)$ with all possible A_i. While the one in (23) is regarded as $(A_1)(\alpha A_2)$ with $A_1 = B_1, A_2 = (\alpha^\lambda B_2)$. It follows that

$$s(A') = s(A) + s(B) = (\lambda + 2)s(B).$$

For A' given in (22) we have

$$|(LJ)_{-A'}\rangle = L_{-\alpha} \, |(LJ)_{-A}\rangle \, , \qquad \big|(P^1P^2)_{-A'}\big\rangle = P^1_{-\alpha} \, \big|(P^1P^2)_{-A}\big\rangle \, .$$

The vectors denoted by $|(LJ)_{-A}\rangle$ and $|(P^1P^2)_{-A}\rangle$ are divided into subclasses according to the subpartitions of A. We introduce new notations to specify the subclasses:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{V}_n = \{\ L_{-(\alpha^{\lambda-n}B_1)}J_{-(\alpha^n B_2)}\,|0\rangle\ \},\\
&\mathcal{H}_n = \{\ P^1_{-(\alpha^{\lambda-n}B_1)}P^2_{-(\alpha^n B_2)}\,|0\rangle = (P^1_{-\alpha})^{\lambda-n}(P^2_{-\alpha})^n\,\big|(P^1P^2)_{-B}\big\rangle\ \},
\end{aligned}$$

where $n = 0, 1, \cdots, \lambda$. For short we denote elements of $\mathcal{V}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_n$ by $|v_n\rangle$ and $|h_n\rangle$, respectively.

For A' given in (23) we move $J_{-\alpha}$ and $P^2_{-\alpha}$ to the left most position. Then we obtain (20) (21) again and the summation part of (20) does not contribute to the matrix elements of $\mathcal{M}(A')$. Furthermore we have

$$|(LJ)_{-A}\rangle = |v_\lambda\rangle\,, \qquad \big|(P^1P^2)_{-A}\big\rangle = |h_\lambda\rangle\,.$$

With these notations one may write $\mathcal{M}(A')$ as follows

$$\mathcal{M}(A') = \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\langle v_\lambda|\,J_\alpha P^1_{-\alpha}\,|h_k\rangle & \langle v_\lambda|\,J_\alpha P^2_{-\alpha}\,|h_\lambda\rangle \\
\hline
 & \\
\langle v_j|\,L_\alpha P^1_{-\alpha}\,|h_k\rangle & \langle v_j|\,L_\alpha P^2_{-\alpha}\,|h_\lambda\rangle \\
 &
\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
M_k & M_{\lambda+1} \\
\hline
M_{jk} & M_{j\ \lambda+1}
\end{array}\right),$$

where $j = \lambda, \lambda-1, \cdots, 0$ from top to bottom, $k = 0, 1, \cdots, \lambda$ from left to right. The right most expression given by M is a shorthand for the expression in the middle. We note that each M represents a matrix of size $s(B)$. The entries of the top row are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
M_k &= \langle (LJ)_{-B}|\,(J_\alpha)^{\lambda+1}(P^1_{-\alpha})^{\lambda+1-k}(P^2_{-\alpha})^k\,\big|(P^1P^2)_{-B}\big\rangle\\
&= (-1)^k(\lambda+1)!\rho_1^k\rho_2^{\lambda+1-k}\,\big\langle (LJ)_{-B}\big|(P^1P^2)_{-B}\big\rangle\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $k = 0, 1, \cdots, \lambda+1$. The second equality is verified by induction with respect to k. Other entries of $\mathcal{M}(A')$ are calculated to be

$$M_{jk} = 2a(\lambda+1-k)\rho_1\,\langle v_j|h_k\rangle + 2ak\rho_2\,\langle v_j|h_{k-1}\rangle\,,$$

where $0 \le j \le \lambda,\ 0 \le k \le \lambda+1$. After some algebra one may see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det\mathcal{M}(A') = (2a)^{(\lambda+1)s(B)}\\
&\quad\times\det\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c}
* & * & \cdots & * & \Lambda \\
\hline
(\lambda+1)\rho_1\,\langle v_\lambda|h_0\rangle & \lambda\rho_1\,\langle v_\lambda|h_1\rangle & \cdots & \rho_1\,\langle v_\lambda|h_\lambda\rangle & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
(\lambda+1)\rho_1\,\langle v_0|h_0\rangle & \lambda\rho_1\,\langle v_0|h_1\rangle & \cdots & \rho_1\,\langle v_0|h_\lambda\rangle & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda+1}(-1)^{\lambda+1+k}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda+1\\ k\end{pmatrix}\left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^{\lambda+1-k}M_k\\
&= (\lambda+1)!\left(-\frac{\rho_1^2+\rho_2^2}{\rho_1}\right)^{\lambda+1}\big\langle (LJ)_{-B}\big|(P^1P^2)_{-B}\big\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that up to numerical constant

$$\det \mathcal{M}(A') = (\rho_1^2+\rho_2^2)^{(\lambda+1)s(B)} \det \mathcal{M}(A) \det \mathcal{M}(B) = (\rho_1^2+\rho_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}s(A')\ell(A')}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.3. □

Now we are able to write down the explicit formula of Δ_n.

Theorem 5.1 *Level n Kac determinant is given by*

$$\Delta_n = c_n \prod_{a,b} \prod_{A,B} (\rho_1^2+\rho_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}s(A)s(B)(\ell(A)+\ell(B))}$$

where the pair (a,b) runs all possible decomposition of n into two non-negative integers and the pair (A,B) runs all possible partitions of fixed a and b. The coefficient c_n is a numerical constant.

Explicit values of c_n (up to sign) and the power of $\rho_1^2+\rho_2^2$ for $n=1,2,3$ are listed below:

n	1	2	3
(c_n, power)	$(2,2)$	$(2^{18},12)$	$(2^{72}3^6,48)$

Remark 1 *Δ_n is independent of the central charges α, β. Thus the formula of Δ_n is common for the algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Remark 2 *For $\rho_1^2+\rho_2^2 \neq 0$ the Kac determinant Δ_n never vanish so that there exist no singular vectors in $V_n^{\mathcal{I}}$ for any n. Thus the Verma modules $V^{\mathcal{I}}$ are irreducible. This is a sharp contrast to the case of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with exotic central extension. In that case, some Verma modules for certain nonvanishing highest weights are reducible [43].*

Remark 3 *The facts mentioned in the above remarks are also observed in the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra in $(1+1)$ dimensional space-time [42].*

6 Novel infinite dimensional algebra

As explained in §3 the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ does not have exotic central extension. While finite dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ does have. Then a natural question may arise: is it possible to extend the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with the exotic central extension to infinite dimensional algebra ? We introduce the following algebra as one of the possibility of such extensions. In addition to the generators of $\mathfrak{g}$ we introduce infinitely many mutually commuting generators $\Theta_m (m \in \mathbb{Z})$. Then it is easy to verify that the commutation relations given below (vanishing commutators are not indicated) define an infinite dimensional Lie algebra which we denote $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n}, && [L_m, J_n] = -nJ_{m+n}, \\ &[L_m, P_n^i] = (m-n)P_{m+n}^i && [J_m, P_n^i] = \textstyle\sum_j \epsilon_{ij} P_{m+n}^j, \\ &[P_m^i, P_n^j] = \epsilon_{ij}\Theta_{m+n}, && [L_m, \Theta_n] = (2m-n)\Theta_{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Structure of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by:

$$(\langle L_m \rangle \Supset \langle J_m \rangle) \Supset \langle P^i_m,\ \Theta_m \rangle.$$

We remark that Θ_m is no longer central. This may be the compensation for making P^i_m noncommutative. We also remark that the finite dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is not a subalgebra of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is realized in a space of four variables (t, x_1, x_2, θ). It is an extension of the realization (2) of $\mathfrak{g}$ by θ.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_m &= -t^{m+1}\partial_t - (m+1)t^m \sum_i x_i\partial_i - 2mt^m\theta\partial_\theta, \\
J_m &= -t^m \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} x_i \partial_j, \\
P^i_m &= -t^{m+1}\partial_i - \frac{1}{2}t^{m-1} \sum_j \epsilon_{ij} x_j \partial_\theta, \qquad \Theta_m = -t^m\partial_\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us show that the algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ has the central extensions same as $\mathfrak{g}$. We seek the central extensions of the following form:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + f_{mn}, \qquad [J_m, J_n] = g_{mn}, \qquad [\Theta_m, \Theta_n] = h_{mn}.$$

The Jacobi identity requires the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n-k)f_{m\ n+k} + (k-m)f_{n\ k+m} + (m-n)f_{k\ m+n} = 0, \\
&(2m-k)h_{n\ m+k} - (2m-n)h_{k\ m+n} = 0, \\
&kg_{n\ m+k} - ng_{k\ m+n} = 0, \\
&h_{k\ m+n} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to verify that the possible extensions are given by

$$f_{mn} = \frac{\alpha}{12} m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0}, \qquad g_{mn} = \beta m \delta_{m+n,0}.$$

The extension h_{mh} is excluded.

7 Concluding remarks

Inspired by physical relevance of the finite dimensional Galilean conformal algebras, we studied the infinite dimensional counterpart $\mathfrak{g}$ of the algebra in $(2+1)$ dimensional spacetime introduced in [10]. It has been revealed that the exotic central extension, which exists for the finite dimensional algebra, is not allowed for the infinite dimensional algebra. While the Virasoro and the rotation sectors of $\mathfrak{g}$ have central extensions. This motivate us to introduce a new infinite dimensional algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We have studied representations of the algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ that included all possible central extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$. Although the algebra is not semisimple, techniques similar to semisimple algebras can be employed for studying representations. The boson realization similar to that of Virasoro algebra was found for the fixed value of central charge. Then the Verma modules were studied and the Kac determinant formula at any level was given. Remarkably, the formula is common for all values (including zero) of the central charges. We also observed that the Kac determinant never vanished for nontrivial values of highest weight for P_0^i so that the Verma modules are irreducible. These two features are also observed for the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra in $(1+1)$ dimensional space-time [42]. We thus anticipate that they are common features for all kinds of infinite dimensional Galilean conformal algebras.

The present work focused on mathematical aspects of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. There are no physical implication of the algebras at this moment. The algebras contain Virasoro algebra, one of the most important algebras in physics, as a subalgebra so that one can expect the algebras themselves also have some physical implications. Recalling that many Lie algebras (especially infinite dimensional algebras) have applications in physics through singular vectors, one way to find connections with physics is to look at singular vectors of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. We found that there were no singular vectors for $\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 \neq 0$, however, the situation might be different for $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0$. It will be a problem of future work.

Acknowledgements

The author is supported by a grants-in-aid from JSPS (Contract No.23540154).

References

[1] Niederer, U.: Maximal kinematical invariance group of the free Schrödinger equation. Helv. Phys. Acta **45**, 802–810 (1972)

[2] Hagen, C.R.: Scale and conformal transformations in Galilean-covariant field theory. Phys. Rev. **D5**, 377–388 (1972)

[3] Havas, P. and Plebański, J.: Conformal extensions of the Galilei group and their relation to the Schrödinger group. J. Math. Phys. **19**, 482–488 (1978)

[4] Negro, J., del Olmo, M.A. and Rodríguez-Marco, A.: Nonrelativistic conformal groups. J. Math. Phys. **38**, 3786–3809 (1997)

[5] Negro, J., del Olmo, M.A. and Rodríguez-Marco, A.: Nonrelativistic conformal groups. II. Further developments and physical applications. J. Math. Phys. **38**, 3810–3831 (1997)

[6] Son, D.T.: Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: A Geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry. Phys. Rev. **D78**, 046003 (2008); arXiv:0804.3972 [hep-th].

[7] Balasubramanian, K. and McGreevy, J.: Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs. Phys. Rev. Lett. **101,** 061601 (2008); arXiv:0804.4053 [hep-th].

[8] Bagchi, A. and Gopakumar R.: Galilean conformal algebras and AdS/CFT. JHEP **07**, 037 (2009); arXiv:0902.1385 [hep-th]

[9] Alishahiha, M., Davody, A. and Vahedi, A.: On AdS/CFT of Galilean Conformal Field Theories. JHEP **08**, 022 (2009); arXiv:0903.3953 [hep-th].

[10] Martelli, D. and Tachikawa, Y.: Comments on Galilean conformal field theories and their geometric realization. JHEP **05**, 091 (2010); arXiv:0903.5184 [hep-th].

[11] Hussin, V. and Jacques, M.: On non-relativistic conformal symmetries and invariant tensor fields. J. Phys. A:Math. Gen. **19**, 3471–3485 (1986)

[12] Dobrev, V.K., Doebner, H.-D. and Mrugalla, Ch.: Lowest weight representations of the Schrödinger algebra and generalized heat/Schrödinger equations. Rep. Math. Phys. **39**, 201-218 (1997)

[13] Jackiw, R. and Pi, S.Y.: Classical and quantal nonrelativistic Chern-Simons theory. Phys. Rev. **D42**, 3500–3513 (1990); *Erratum*: Phys. Rev. **D48**, 3929 (1993)

[14] O'Raifeartaigh, L. and Sreedhar, V.V.: The maximal kinematical invariance group of fluid dynamics and explosion-implosion duality. Ann. Phys. **293**, 215–227 (2001); arXiv:hep-th/0007199

[15] Mehen, T., Stewart, I.W. and Wise, M.B.: Conformal invariance for non-relativistic field theory. Phys. Lett. **B474**, 145-152 (2000); arXiv:hep-th/9910025

[16] Stichel, P.C. and Zakrzewski, W.J.: A new type of conformal dynamics. Ann. Phys. **310**, 158-180 (2004); arXiv:hep-th/0309038.

[17] Lukierski, J., Stichel, P.C. and Zakrewski, W.J.: Exotic Galilean conformal symmetry and its dynamical realisations . Phys. Lett. **A357**, 1-5 (2006); hep-th/0511259.

[18] Lukierski, J., Stichel, P.C. and Zakrewski, W.J.: Acceleration-extended Galilean symmetries with central charges and their dynamical realization. Phys. Lett. **B650**, 203-207 (2007); arXiv:hep-th/0702179

[19] Nishida, Y. and Son, D.T.: Nonrelativistic conformal field theories. Phys. Rev. **D76**, 086004 (2007); arXiv:0706.3746 [hep-th].

[20] Duval, C., Gibbons, G. and Horváthy, P.A.: Celestial mechanics, conformal structures, and gravitational waves. Phys. Rev. **D43**, 3907–3922 (1991); arXiv:hep-th/0512188

[21] Duval, C., Hassaïne, M. and Horváthy, P.A.: The geometry of Schrodinger symmetry in non-relativistic CFT. Ann. Phys. **324**, 1158-1167 (2009); arXiv:0809.3128 [hep-th]

[22] Duval, C. and Horváthy, P.A.: Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures. J. Phys. A:Math. Theor. **42**, 465206 (2009); arXiv:0904.0531 [math-ph]

[23] Zhang, P. and Horváthy, P.A.: Non-relativistic conformal symmetries in fluid mechanics. Eur. Phys. J. **C65**, 607–614 (2010); arXiv:0906.3594 [physics.flu-dyn]

[24] Duval, C. and Horváthy, P. A.: Conformal Galilei groups, Veronese curves, and Newton-Hooke spacetimes. arXiv:1104.1502v2 [hep-th]

[25] Henkel, M.: Schrodinger invariance and strongly anisotropic critical systems. J. Stat. Phys. **75**, 1023–1061 (1994); arXiv:hep-th/9310081

[26] Henkel, M.: Local scale invariance and strongly anisotropic equilibrium critical systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1940–1943 (1997); arXiv:cond-mat/9610174 [cond-mat.stat-mech]

[27] Henkel, M.: Phenomenology of local scale invariance: from conformal invariance to dynamical scaling. Nucl. Phys. **B641**, 405–486 (2002); arXiv:hep-th/0205256

[28] Henkel, M. and Unterberger, J.: Schrodinger invariance and spacetime symmetries. Nucl. Phys. **B660**, 407–435 (2003); arXiv:hep-th/0302187

[29] Cherniha, R. and Henkel, M.: The exotic conformal Galilei algebra and nonlinear partial differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **369**, 120–132 (2010); arXiv:0910.4822 [math-ph]

[30] Aizawa, N., Dobrev, V.K. and Doebner, H.-D.: Intertwining operators for Schrödinger algebras and hierarchy of invariant equations. *Proceedings of the second international symposium on Quantum Theory and Symmetries*, (Kapuścik, E. and Horzela, A. ed.) World Scientific 2002, pp. 222–227

[31] Aizawa, N., Dobrev, V.K., Doebner, H.-D. and Stoimenov, S.: Intertwining operators for the Schrödinger algebra in $n \geq 3$ space dimension. *Proceedings of the VII International Workshop on Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics*, (Doebner, H.-D. and Dobrev, V.K. ed.) Heron Press (Sofia) 2008, pp. 372–399

[32] Aizawa, N. and Dobrev, V.K.: Intertwining operator realization of non-relativistic holography. Nucl. Phys. **B828**, 581–593 (2010); arXiv:0906.0257 [hep-th]

[33] Galajinsky, A.V.: Remark on quantum mechanics with conformal Galilean symmetry. Phys. Rev. **D78**, 087701 (2008); arXiv:0808.1553

[34] Galajinsky, A.V.: Conformal mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime. Nucl Phys. **B832**, 586–604 (2010); arXiv:1002.2290 [hep-th]

[35] Galilean conformal algebra in two dimensions and cosmological topologically massive gravity. Nucl. Phys. **B838**, 358–370 (2010); arXiv:1003.1203 [hep-th]

[36] Alvarez, P.D., Gomis, J., Kamimura, K. and Plyushchay, M.S.: (2+ 1) D exotic Newton-Hooke symmetry, duality and projective phase. Ann. Phys. **322**, 1556–1586 (2007); arXiv:hep-th/0702014

[37] Horváthy, P.A.: Non-commutative mechanics, in mathematical & in condensed matter physics. SIGMA **2**, 090 (2006); arXiv:cond-mat/0609571 [cond-mat.mes-hall]

[38] Bagchi, A., Gopakumar, R., Mandal, I. and Miwa, A.: GCA in 2d. JHEP **08** 004 (2010); arXiv:0912.1090 [hep-th]

[39] Hosseiny, A. and Rouhani, S.: Logarithmic Correlators in Non-relativistic Conformal Field Theory. J. Math. Phys. **51**, 102303 (2010); arXiv:1001.1036 [hep-th].

[40] Hosseiny, A. and Rouhani, S.: Affine extension of Galilean conformal algebra in 2+ 1 dimensions. J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 052307; arXiv:0909.1203 [hep-th]

[41] Henkel, M., Schott, R., Stoimenov, S. and Unterberger, J.: The Poincare algebra in the context of ageing systems: Lie structure, representations, Appell systems and coherent states. arXiv:math-ph/0601028.

[42] Roger, C. and Unterberger, J.: The Schrodinger-Virasoro Lie group and algebra: from geometry to representation theory. arXiv:math-ph/0601050

[43] Aizawa, N. and Isaac, P.S.: On irreducible representations of the exotic conformal Galilei algebra. J. Phys. A:Math. Theor. **44**, 035401 (2011); arXiv:1010.4075 [math-ph]

[44] Wakimoto, M. and Yamada, H.: Irreducible decompositions of Fock representations of the Virasoro algebra. Lett. Math. Phys. **7**, 513–516 (1983)

[45] Kac, V.G. and Raina A.K.: *Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras.* World Scientific, 1987